

Possible Evidence for Panspermia: the Labeled Release Experiment

**Conference on Cosmic Dust and Panspermia
Cardiff University, September 7, 2006**

Gilbert V. Levin

Spherix Incorporated, 12051 Indian Creek Court, Beltsville, MD 20705 USA;
phone: 301-419-3900; fax: 301-210-4908; e-mail: glevin@spherix.com

ABSTRACT

Thirty years after the Viking Mission landed on Mars (July 20, 1976) to search for life, despite the positive findings of the Labeled Release (LR) experiment, the issue remains unresolved. The controversial history, following the immediate dismissal of the LR's evidence for microbial activity in the Martian soil, and the later claim to its having found living microorganisms are reviewed. Post-Viking-to-current data bearing on the issue are discussed in the context of the growing interest in the scientific community in the long-dismissed possibility of microbial life on Mars. Objections raised over the years to the biological interpretation of the Mars LR, those currently maintained, and their rebuttals are reviewed. A case is presented that enough evidence now exists for an objective review by astrobiologists to resolve this key issue, a review, surprisingly, not yet held. The results could greatly influence NASA's currently shifting plans for Mars exploration.

A variation of the LR experiment to test for chiral specificity in the metabolism of substrates by the active agent found in the Martian soil, thus having the capability of obtaining an unambiguous answer to the life question, is proposed. Confirmation of life on Mars by this experiment can also determine whether Martian and Earth life forms share a common heritage. Together with mounting evidence for viable transfer of microorganisms between the two planets, this would be evidence for panspermia, and establish the presence of a common biosphere in which the two planets participate. Should Martian microorganisms show a different chiral specificity than that of Earth life, this would indicate separate origins of the two neighboring life forms, thereby strongly implying that life occurs widely throughout the cosmos. Any one of these possible outcomes would be a paradigm-breaking event.

Key words: Life on Mars, Viking Mission Labeled Release experiment, astrobiology, extreme habitats, Martian environment, exploration of Mars.

INTRODUCTION

This paper has two main objectives: 1. to advance a paradigm change on the subject of life on Mars; and 2. to add substance to the topic of this conference, panspermia.

Panspermia, is believed to have been first written about by the early Greek philosopher, Anaxagoras, and was first scientifically addressed some 150 years by Louis Pasteur in his attempt to culture microorganisms from a piece of the Orgueil meteorite. It is my belief that a simple modification of the Labeled Release (LR) experiment may provide the first live evidence of panspermia.

Life is the most complex phenomenon known. Thus, the detection of any biochemical or biosignature is unlikely to be accepted as proof of extant life. For that reason, the LR, as sent to Mars aboard the Viking Mission in 1976¹, was designed to detect active metabolism, which the author believes would be proof of extant life.

The Viking LR is depicted in Figure 1. A 0.5 cc sample of Martian soil is placed into a 4.0 cc container under simulated Martian atmosphere (10 mb CO₂), with helium added to effect a total atmospheric pressure of 85 mb. The soil is maintained at 10° C and inoculated with 0.15 ml of an aqueous solution of the ¹⁴C-labeled compounds shown in Table 1. Chromatographic movement of the liquid through the soil produces wet to barely moist conditions. Any microorganisms present that could metabolize any of the nutrients thus supplied would be expected to produce an end-product of one or more labeled gases.

The compounds chosen as nutrients were Miller-Urey compounds, because they are thought to have formed on early Earth, to have participated in the origin of life, and to have been passed on to today's life forms. In the many hundreds of tests performed on Earth laboratory and field tests demonstrated that the nutrient solution always produced positive responses from live cultures and from microbe-populated soils. The gas evolved quickly saturated the small amount of liquid, readily making the phase change from liquid to gas. The gas rose through the connecting tube that precluded aerosols or dust from making the journey into the headspace of the detector chamber. The amount of gas accumulating was measured by its radioactivity as counted by beta detectors. In the event of a positive response, a duplicate sample of the same soil, the control, was heated to 160° C for three hours to inactivate putative microorganisms. (This was the control procedure specified by NASA for all three Viking life detection tests.) The sample was allowed to cool and then tested. A negative control confirmed that the initial response was from living organisms. Were the control response positive, it would indicate that the test response had been caused by a chemical or physical agent, not living organisms.

The LR was honed for 20 years on thousands of tests on microbial species in pure cultures, mixed cultures, soils from exotic places, and in field tests in extreme environments, such as seen in Figures 2 – 4. Simple, very rapid, producing essentially no noise because of the phase separation from the mother liquor, the LR proved sensitive to as few as ~ 20 cells per sample². The LR earned a strong pedigree by going through ten years of development as “Gulliver,” as the “sticky string” experiment was called prior to formation of the Viking Mission. Selection of Gulliver for Viking was made by four NASA-appointed committees. Renamed the “Labeled Release Experiment,” it was subjected to ten more years of development. This involved quarterly and annual reports, annual submittals for continued funding, frequent inspections by

NASA and instrument manufacturer officials, design reviews, critical design reviews, and visits from the infamous “Tiger Teams” that investigated any perceived problems.

THE LR ON MARS

July 30, 2006 marked the 30th Anniversary of the LR’s first experiment on Mars. The results of that first experiment were startling. Immediately upon injection of the labeled nutrients, gas began evolving from the soil. The experiment ran for seven sols (Martian days, 26.4 hours each), with gas rapidly rising for three sols, and then approaching a plateau for the remaining time. A fresh culture chamber was then rotated into place, and the instrument purged of gas. The control was then run. It produced a nil response. At that point, the LR had satisfied the pre-mission criteria for life.

Going beyond its Mission criteria, the LR went on to buttress its remarkable finding by obtaining strong additional evidence. Figure 5 shows the results of the first cycles of all tests at the Viking 1 landing site. The corresponding data for Viking 2 are shown in Figure 6.

At both sites all of the test and control data were consistent with the criteria for life. An immediately proposed theory that the positive responses were caused by activation of the soil by ultraviolet light was dispensed with by VL2 Cycle 3, seen in Figure 6, the results of a sample taken from under a rock moved just after dawn, which, nonetheless, showed strong activity.

The LR controls established that the “active agent” detected in the Martian soil was destroyed at 160° C; was greatly impaired at 46° C; essentially destroyed at 51° C. and fully depleted after holding in the dark at approximately 10° C inside the sample distribution box for three and four months at the respective sites. All results were supportive or consistent with the detection of a biological agent. Still, the consensus remained: “chemistry not biology.”

Despite much evidence to the contrary over the past three decades, a “Standard Model” has emerged for life on Mars:

The surface of Mars is inimical to extant life because of the absence of liquid water, the intense UV flux and a ubiquitous layer of highly oxidizing chemical(s). The absence of organic matter in the surface material is proof of the oxidizing layer and/or the effect of the UV flux, and of the absence of life. Life may have existed on the surface in the geological past when conditions were more hospitable. Extant life may inhabit underground oases where there is liquid water and environmental conditions provide a favorable habitat.

This paper will show that this model, along with its corollaries, is not supported in fact.

Each of the obstacles to biology posed by the Standard Model and its corollaries has been rebutted. All such obstacles publicly proposed are shown in Table 2. None has been sustained. The principal ones are discussed below:

A. Failure to Detect Organics

The Viking GCMS Experimenter disclaimed life detection ability for that instrument, pointing out that 10⁹ cells in that instrument’s 60 mg. sample were required to supply enough organic matter for detection³. (However, he contended that, were there any living microorganisms

present in the soil, the numerous bodies of the dead ones would supply the needed amount of organic matter for detection.)

The Viking Pyrolytic Release (PR) experiment sought to detect photosynthetic life by detecting the fixation of C-labeled Martian atmosphere (CO and CO₂) by organisms in the soil when placed under simulated sunlight. In terrestrial tests, the Experimenters reported⁴ the formation of labeled organic matter on sterile soil, stating, “The amount of product formed could be considerable over geologic time.” An optical filter was placed in the Viking PR instrument in an attempt to prevent false positives by screening out the UV flux below 300 nm which caused the reaction. However, this did not eliminate the formation of organics⁵ on Mars, but at levels below that required by the PR as evidence of life, the formation of which organics occurred even in the heat-treated control soil samples. Thus, organics are strongly indicated as being formed on the surface of Mars, where the GCMS failed to find them.

Since Viking, various other problems, mechanical and poor sensitivity, have been cited^{6,7} for the Viking GCMS and, recently, it has been shown that the GCMS technique failed to detect microorganisms in the hyperarid Atacama Desert⁸, thought to be a good analog for Mars.

B. Strong Oxidant

As already seen in Table 2, many theories have been advanced to propose hydrogen peroxide, other strong oxidants, and various chemical and physical agents on the surface of Mars as the source of the LR responses. However, there is much evidence⁹ discounting the oxidant theories. Viking, itself, provided the first refutation. The Viking Magnetic Properties experiment found a high percentage of the iron in the soil to be magnetic, thereby demonstrating that it was not fully oxidized. This is shown in Figure 7.

The formation and preservation of organics by the PR mentioned above is also evidence against an oxidant. The fact that the organic matter formed in the PR instrument on Mars was not destroyed by the putative oxidant in the soil, strongly argues against the presence of an oxidant.

The Viking GCMS itself produced evidence against an oxidant. The GCMS Experimenter stated¹⁰ the instrument was sensitive to several parts per billion of organic matter (produced by the pyrolysis of the sample, which was apparently less than the organic yield from the 10⁹ bacterial cells he stated was required for the detection of organics). The GCMS’ detection of “a few tens of parts per billion” of methyl chloride, a residual from stringent chemical cleaning of the instrument on Earth, was pointed to as evidence of the instrument’s sensitivity. However, were there even a few parts per billion of a strong oxidant in the soil sample, the methyl chloride would have been oxidized to methylene chloride vapor in amounts that would have been detected as such by the GCMS.

Two Earth-based observations^{11,12} found no peroxide in the entire column of the Martian atmosphere. Each established an upper limit of the oxidant below that capable of producing an LR response. A recent announcement¹³ reports hydrogen peroxide in the Martian atmosphere at a mixing ratio of 10⁻⁸, claiming anew that this accounts for the LR. But this miniscule amount could not produce the LR active responses, nor survive the UV flux, or contact with surface materials. Further, it is less than the amount in the Earth’s atmosphere, under which life thrives.

The Rover Opportunity also confirmed that the surface of Mars is not highly oxidizing. Its analysis of the Martian soil, as seen in Figure 8, shows that the majority of iron in the soil is not fully oxidized to the Fe_2O_3 state, but is in the ferrous state..

Finally, even granting the blatantly difficult case for an oxidant coating Mars, all claims that this explains the LR result fail to address the fact that no such oxidants have exhibited the thermal sensitivity of the LR controls. None of the oxidant theories, nor any of the other abiological theories, fit the data.

C. “Too Much Too Soon!”

Soon after the first positive LR response, it was stated that the response was “Too much too soon” to have been produced by Martian microorganisms. Figure 9 shows the Martian VL1 Cycle 1 LR response in relation to responses from a variety of terrestrial field tests. The response on Mars is within the terrestrial range, and is at the lower end, where it might be expected because of the low Martian temperature. The Mars response amplitude and kinetics are shown to be quite similar to those of Antarctic soils and endolithic microorganisms extracted from Antarctic rocks.

D. Second Injection

After the seven-sol LR experiments, second injections of nutrient were made onto soils that had given positive results. Thereupon, rapid re-absorption of approximately 20% of the headspace gas occurred. Although 2nd injections were not part of pre-mission LR criteria, the fact that a new outpouring of labeled gas did not occur led to further doubt of the biological interpretation of the Mars LR. However, a search of the LR terrestrial test data found an Antarctic soil that showed a similar response to a second injection of nutrient. The Mars and Antarctic soil 2nd injection responses are shown in Figure 10a and 10b. The indication is that the microorganisms in these samples from both planets died during the latter part of first injection cycles. When the 2nd injections wet the apparently alkaline soils, gas re-absorption occurred.

The fact that active soils stored at 10°C for approximately three and four months (Figures 5 and 6) produced no gas evolution upon injection of the substrates is consistent with the “limiting factor” being the death of soil microorganisms. The unlikely alternative is that the active agent in the soil was a chemical that became unstable when held in the dark at a temperature within the Mars ambient range.

E. “No Liquid Water, No Life”

This is now the primary surviving obstacle raised against a biological interpretation of the Mars LR experiment. However, there is much evidence for liquid water on Martian surface, beginning with Viking. The Lander footpads had thermistors in them to determine the surface temperature. As the morning progressed on Mars, the surface temperature rose. Lander 2 recorded that the rise halted for several minutes at 273° K, the temperature at which ice melts after absorbing energy to supply the latent heat of fusion. Figure 11, an image taken by Viking Lander 2, shows that frost or snow was present.

Pathfinder measured the temperature of the Martian air. At 0.5 m above the ground, the daytime temperature exceeded 20° C. Figure 12 shows water exists close (perhaps within several cm,) to much of the surface of Mars, including both Viking landing sites. Presumably, most of the time the water is in the form of ice. However, the daily rise in the temperature would produce liquid

water. Furthermore, theoretical modeling¹⁴ and experiments have demonstrated that ice liquefies under Martian conditions. In developing the Astronaut space suits, the Hamilton Standard Company found that ice on the backpacks of Astronauts in a re-compression chamber melted when the chamber reached 0° C under as little as 4 mb total pressure. Figure 13 shows frost on a rock placed under Martian conditions. The frost melted into liquid water as the temperature was raised to 0° C. Figure 14 is an image taken by the Rover Opportunity, showing what appears to be mud. The same image is shown in Figure 15 with its red, green and blue channels combined give a color image. A NASA image evidencing current liquid water on Mars is seen in Figure 16.

In response to this mounting evidence of liquid water on Mars, erstwhile proponents of a dry Mars now propose an “Oases Theory,” saying that there might be liquid water on Mars, but only in underground oases. They then concede that life might exist in such niches, but maintain that the surface of Mars is sterile. However, such a theory seems anti-Darwinian. If microorganisms have evolved on Earth to occupy every niche, some as inhospitable as those on Mars, why would this not have occurred on Mars? Figure 17 is an electron microscope image of microorganisms found growing in Arctic permafrost in which the temperature is perpetually below freezing. This scenario is no worse than some scenarios on Mars.

In addition to rebutting obstacles, other developments have enhanced the prospect for life on Mars:

A. Desert Varnish

The possibility of desert varnish being found on Mars has just been revived¹⁵ as evidence for life. This issue was first raised in 1977¹⁶, and discussed in great detail over ensuing years¹⁷, with much evidence produced that at least certain types, if not all, desert varnish is mediated by microorganisms. Figure 18 is an image of Mars that shows what may be desert varnish.

B. Circadian Rhythm

All forms of life examined show circadian rhythm, a periodic, cyclic change in metabolism and/or activity. Kinetics of the evolution of gas in the LR Mars signal indicated a possible circadian rhythm. Two papers discussed this, but did not show conclusive statistical significance. A later paper¹⁸, using a new, non-linear approach to look for circadian rhythm in the Mars LR, “strongly support(s) the hypothesis of a biological origin.” Additional work is underway by the author of this method who has been supplied all of the LR response data for his analysis.

C. Atmospheric Indicators

Methane¹⁹, formaldehyde²⁰ and, possibly, ammonia²¹ have been reported in the Martian atmosphere. These gases are indicative of, or consistent with, the presence of life. The methane was associated with water vapor in the lower atmosphere that might reflect the presence of liquid surface water, or, itself, might be able to support life. Methane is a very short-lived gas, and, on Earth, is sustained in the atmosphere by biological activity. An abiological method proposed for Mars was that the gas was emitted by volcanic activity. No significant volcanism has been detected by whole-planet scans of Mars. In addition, a recent study²² of gas evolved by the volcano Mona Loa finds that volcanism is a very unlikely source of the Martian methane.

THE PRESENT SITUATION

The present situation with respect to life on Mars may be summarized as follows:

- a. No finding made on Mars is inimical to life.
- b. Some 40 attempts to explain away the LR findings over the past 30 years have failed scientific scrutiny.
- c. No abiological experiment has duplicated or realistically approximated the Mars LR positive and control data.
- d. A Biologic Imperative has been recognized on Earth.
- e. Experimental evidence shows²³ that some microorganisms can survive all the shocks and insults incident to interplanetary travel, and could have been transported there from Earth or elsewhere, as demonstrated in Figure 19.

Nonetheless, the consensus, though moving somewhat towards acceptance of the Viking LR results in recent years, remains negative on life on Mars.

It is now more difficult to propose that Mars is sterile than that it supports microbial life. Earth and Mars share a common biosphere, the extent of which remains unknown. However, science is not a democratic process. Paradigm-breaking discoveries have always been subject to years of skepticism.

I submit that the long-cited “Extraordinary claims require extraordinary evidence,” dictum has now been met for life on Mars. The evidence provided by the Mars LR data, now reinforced by pertinent findings on Mars and Earth, and by the continual failures to duplicate the Mars LR data abiologically, have elevated the evidence to “extraordinary.” During the same time interval, new knowledge gained concerning life’s habitats and pervasiveness, and its likely ability at interplanetary travel, have removed the LR’s claim from the category of “extraordinary.” What would be truly extraordinary in the light of present knowledge would be finding Mars to be sterile.

The time for the paradigm change concerning life on Mars and elsewhere is at hand.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

1. The author thanks NASA for its financial support of his life detection efforts from 1959 to 1979.
2. Without the excellent scientific support of Dr. Patricia Ann Straat, my Viking LR Co-Experimenter for ten years, the LR would never have made it to Mars.
3. The moral support of Dr. Richard B. Hoover, NASA Marshall Space Flight Center, in inviting me to present papers at the SPIE Astrobiology Conferences is gratefully acknowledged.
4. Mrs. Kathy Brailer, my longtime Executive Assistant, deserves enormous credit for her excellent assistance in assembling this and other papers of the author and his co-authors.
5. The support of Spherix Inc., which permitted the author to continue his Mars pursuits after 1979, is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

1. Levin, G.V., Straat, P.A. 1979, "Completion of the Viking Labeled Release Experiment on Mars," *J. Mol. Evol.*, **14**, 167-183.
2. Cameron, R.E., Benoit R.E. 1970, "Microbial and ecological investigations of recent cinder cones, Deception Island, Antarctica--A preliminary report," *Ecology* **51**: 802-809.
3. Biemann, K., Oro, J., Toulmin, P., Orgel, L.E., Nier, A.O., Anderson, D.M., Simmonds, P.G., Flory, D., Diaz, A.V., Rushneck, D.R., Biller, J.E., Lafleur, A. 1977, "The Search for Organic Substances and Inorganic Volatile Compounds in the Surface of Mars," *J. Geophys. Res.*, **82**, 4641.
4. Hubbard, J.S., Hardy, J.P., Horowitz, N.H. 1971, "Photocatalytic Production of Organic Compounds from CO and H₂O in a Simulated Martian Atmosphere," *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **3**, 574.
5. Hubbard, J.S., Hardy, J.P., Voecks, G.E., Golub, E.E. 1973, "Photocatalytic Synthesis of Organic Compounds from CO and Water: Involvement of Surfaces in the Formation and Stabilization of Products", *J. Mol. Evol.*, **2**, 149-166.
6. Glavin, D. *et al.*, "Detecting pyrolysis products from bacteria on Mars," *Earth and Plan. Sci. Letters*, **185**, Issues **1-2**, 1-5, 2001.
7. Levin, G., Kuznetz, L., Lafleur, A. 2000, "Approaches to resolving the question of life on Mars," *Instruments, Methods, and Missions for Astrobiology, SPIE Proceedings* **4137**, 48-62.
8. Navarro-Gonzalez, R., *et al.*, 2006, "The limitations on organic detection in Mars-like soils by thermal volatilization-gas chromatography-MS and their implications for the Viking results," *PNAS* **103**: 16089-16094; published online before print as 10.1073/pnas.0604210103.
9. Levin, G.V. 2006, "Modern myths of Mars," *Instruments, Methods, and Missions for Astrobiology, SPIE Proceedings*, 6309, 6309OC.
10. Op. Cit. 3. (Biemann JGR 1977)
11. Krasnopolsky, V.A., P.D. Feldman, "Detection of Molecular Hydrogen in the Atmosphere of Mars," *Science* **294**, 1914-1917, 2001.
12. Krasnopolsky, V., Bjoraker, G.L., Mumma, M.J., Jennings, D.E. 1997, "High-resolution spectroscopy of Mars at 3.7 and 8.4 μ m: A sensitive search for H₂O₂, H₂CO, HCl, and CH₄, and detection of HDO," *J. Geophys. Res.*, **102**, No. E3, 6525-6534.
13. www.obspm.fr/actual/nouvelle/jun04/perox.en.shtml, hydrogen peroxide on Mars.
14. Levin, R.L., Weatherwax, J.L. 2003, "Liquid water on Mars," *Instruments, Methods, and Missions for Astrobiology, SPIE Proceedings* **5163**, 145-157.
15. Sherriff, L. 2006, "Shiny rocks could hold evidence of Martian life," *The Register*.
16. Moore, H.J. *et al.* 1977, "Surface Materials of the Viking Landing Sites," *J. Geophys. Res.*, **82**:28, 4497-4523.
17. DiGregorio, B. 2001, "Rock Varnish as a Habitat for Extant Life on Mars," *Instruments, Methods, and Missions for Astrobiology, SPIE Proceedings*, **4495-13**.
18. Bianciardi, G. 2004, "Nonlinear Analysis of the Viking Lander 2 Labeled Release Data," *Proc. of the III European Workshop on Exo-Astrobiology. Mars: The search for Life, Madrid, Spain, ESA SP-545*.
19. Formisano, V., Atreya, S., Encrenaz, T., Ignatiev, N., Giuranna, M. 2004, "Detection of Methane in the Atmosphere of Mars," *Science*, **306**, 5702, 1758-1761.
20. Howe, L., "Formaldehyde, Ammonia, and Benzene Molecules on Mars? Would Probably Mean Life," www.earthfiles.com/news/printerfriendly.cfm?id=707.
21. Whitehouse, D., "Ammonia may have been found in Mars' atmosphere which some scientists say could indicate life on the Red Planet," Online Science Ed., BBC, reported in BBC News, July 15, 2004.
22. S. Ryan, E.J. Dlugokencky, P.P. Tans and M. E. Trudeau (2006), Mauna Loa Volcano Is Not a Source of Atmospheric Methane: Implications for Mars, GRL, (in press).The study of Mona Loa
23. "Traces of Stowaway Algae Could Survive on Mars, Study Finds," Univ. FL, Gainesville, Press Release, May 30, 2005, in <spaceref.com>.

TABLE 1

TABLE 1. Labeled Release Nutrients

<u>Substrate</u>	<u>Structure and label position (*)</u>	<u>Concentration</u>	<u>μCi ML⁻¹*</u>	<u>Specific Activity (Ci/Mole)</u>
¹⁴ C-glycine	NH ₃ ·*CH ₂ ·*COOH	2.5 × 10 ⁻⁴ M	4	16
¹⁴ C-DL-alanine	*CH ₃ ·*CH(NH ₃)·*COOH	5.0 × 10 ⁻⁴ M	12	48
¹⁴ C-sodium formate	H*COONa	2.5 × 10 ⁻⁴ M	2	8
¹⁴ C-DL-sodium lactate	*CH ₃ ·*CHOH·*COONa	5.0 × 10 ⁻⁴ M	12	48
¹⁴ C-calcium glycolate	(*CH ₂ OH·*COO) ₂ Ca	2.5 × 10 ⁻⁴ M	4	16

*Total = 34 uCi, which yields 6.8 × 10⁷ dpm ml⁻¹

TABLE 2

Non-Biological Theories Put Forth to Refute Mars LR Evidence for Life


<u>Oxidant Theories</u>	<u>Other Theories</u>
1. Hydrogen peroxide formed in atmosphere	13. No liquid water on surface of Mars
2. Hydrogen peroxide formed on rocks	14. LR response was "Too much too soon"
3. Hydrogen peroxide catalyzed by gamma iron	15. No organics found in Mars soil
4. Hydrogen peroxide formed on titanium dioxide	16. UV irradiation destroys organics and life
5. Potassium dioxide in soil	17. Ionizing radiation activates minerals to react with LR nutrient
6. Zinc dioxide in soil	18. Ionizing radiation on oxygen-rich minerals produces disjunctions
7. Manganese dioxide in soil	19. Carbon dioxide trapped in micropores of soil
8. Oxygen plasma	20. Activated halides in soil
9. Superoxides in soil	21. Mineral catalysis of LR medium
10. Peroxynitrate in soil	22. Mineral catalysis of formate
11. Polymeric suboxides in soil	23. Heat of solution from nutrient wetting desiccated minerals
12. Iron VI production of oxygen radicals	24. Iron III decarbonylation of lactate
	25. Smectite clays
	26. Palagonite clays
	27. Limonite clay
	28. Statistical improbability of independent origin of life

G.V. Levin
Spherix Inc.

None has Adequately Explained or Reproduced the Mars LR Data

SLIDE 17

FIGURE 1. Schematic of the Viking Labeled Release Experiment



Mars Test Conditions:

- Martian atmosphere, pressurized with He to 85 mb
- Central injection to provide wet to moist soil
- 7°C-10°C
- Dark

FIGURE 2. LR Test at 12,000 Ft. (above timberline), on White Mountain, CA



FIGURE 3. LR Test on Death Valley Sand Dune




Despite only 0.9% moisture in top 2 mm of sand, a strong positive response was immediately obtained.

FIGURE 4. LR “Sticky String” Test on Salton Sea Desert Flats




FIGURE 5. All VL 1 Cycles




Comparison of radioactivity evolved following the first injection of radioactive nutrient to each analysis cycle of VL-1. A fresh sample was used for the active sequences of cycles 1 and 3 whereas the sample used for active cycle 4 was stored for approximately 141 Sols at 10-26°C prior to use. For cycle 2, a stored portion of the same sample used for cycle 1 was heated for 3 h at 160°C prior to nutrient injection. All data have been corrected for background counts observed prior to nutrient injection.

FIGURE 6. All VL 2 Cycles



Comparison of radioactivity evolved following the first injection of radioactive nutrient to each analysis cycle of VL-2. A fresh sample was used for each cycle except cycle 5 which used a sample stored approximately 84 Sols at 7°C prior to injection. The sample used in cycle 3 was obtained from under a rock. Cycles 1, 3, and 5 were active sequences, whereas cycles 2 and 4 were control sequences in which the samples were heated for 3 h at approximately 51.5°C and 46°C, respectively, prior to nutrient injection. Sample volumes were 0.5 cc except that for cycle 5 which contained 2.2 cc. All data have been corrected for background counts observed prior to injection.

FIGURE 7. The Viking Magnetic Properties Experiment




Reference test chart magnet image for VL-1 on sol 31.

Reference test chart magnet image for VL-2 on sol 42.

2 mm to 4 mm of surface material were picked up by each magnet.


"If there is a lot of material adhering to the magnet, it would certainly say that whatever the surface processes are on Mars, they are not innately highly oxidizing." Robert Hargraves, Viking Magnetic Properties Experimenter

FIGURE 8. Evidence of Reduced Surface Material on Mars



Mössbauer Spectrum on Martian Soil, Meridiani Planum, Sol 11

FIGURE 9. Comparison of Terrestrial and Mars LR Active Responses



All data normalized to flight LR instrument counting efficiency for direct comparison

FIGURE 10a. Effect of 2nd Injection on Antarctic Soil.





FIGURE 10b. Effect of 2nd Injection on Mars Soil.



1. Quam, L.O., ed., 1971, *Research in the Antarctic*, AAAS #93.
2. Cameron, R.E., King, J., Dairal, C.N. 1970, *Antarctic Ecology* 2, 702, M.W. Holdgate, ed.

FIGURE 11. Heavy Frost or Snow at VL-2 Lander Site (Viking Lander Image 21I093)



FIGURE 12. Most Recent Odyssey Findings




FIGURE 13. Mars in a Jar: Liquid Water from Frost on Rock Under Martian Condition




Courtesy of D. Gan and L. Kuznetz, U. Cal., Berkeley

FIGURE 14. Mud Puddles on Mars?




1P128287581EDN0000P2303L5M1

FIGURE 15. Color Image of Mud Puddles on Mars?



Direct combination* of RGB NASA Images: 1P128287557EFF0000P2303L2M1
1P128287581EDN0000P2303L5M1
*Courtesy Ron Levin 1P128287608EDN0000P2303L6M1


FIGURE 16. Mars Global Surveyor Image



NASA Scientists propose that liquid water may currently seep from the walls of this unnamed crater in the planet's southern hemisphere.

Photo courtesy of NASA.

FIGURE 17. Microbes at South Pole



Researchers have found evidence that microbes live in the ice at the Southern Pole.
BBC News Online, science editor Dr. David Whitehouse, July 10, 2000.

FIGURE 18. Possible Desert Varnish on Mars



Front-lighted rocks at Viking landing site show glistening sheen that may be desert varnish.
NASA Image, Credit: Barry DiGregorio

